

CHAMBERS.COM

RECEIVED

E-filing

APR 13 2007

RICHARD W. WIEKING  
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ROBERT O. GILMORE, JR., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

Defendants.

CV 66-45878 SI

**[PROPOSED] ORDER  
DENYING DAVIS'S MOTION  
FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW  
CAUSE FOR CIVIL AND  
CRIMINAL CONTEMPT**

Counsel for both Plaintiffs and Defendants provided responses to the Court concerning inmate Charles T. Davis's motion for an order to show cause for criminal and civil contempt.

This Court, having considered the motion and responses of counsel, the supporting declarations and exhibits, the Court's files, and for good cause appearing, hereby deny Davis's motion.

Additionally, the Court orders that all future *Gilmore*-related grievances and motions put forth by pro se inmates will be resolved informally between counsel without Court intervention, unless Court intervention becomes necessary through an unresolvable conflict.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_



[Proposed] Order Denying Davis's Mot.

*Gilmore v. State of California*  
CV 66-45878 SI